Fragile Families & Child Wellbeing Study: A Brief Guide to Using the Weights for Waves 1-6

This memo will provide a brief overview of the weights for the Fragile Families core telephone data and how to implement them using Stata. All data users should review this memo, including the **endnotes**, in order to understand the different weights on the file, how to use them, and what they imply for your estimates. A separate memo "Fragile Families & Child Wellbeing Study: Methodology for Constructing Mother, Father, and Couple Weights for Core Telephone Surveys Waves 1-4" explains how the core mother, father, and couple weights for the first four waves were created. Users should also review Reichman et al 2001ⁱⁱ for details on the sample design. This memo is not intended to provide statistical advice. It simply describes the weights that are available to data users and other key information needed to understand and apply these weights. Statistical advice should be sought from other sources (resources at your institutions, statistical programming manuals, etc.).

Using weights with the Fragile Families data is important in order to get unbiased statistical estimates. The sample was selected using a complex sample design, where the sample members were not selected independently and were not selected with equal probabilities. For instance, nonmarital births were oversampled. All of the weights described in this memo adjust for the sample design (probability of selection), non-response at baseline, and attrition based on observed characteristics over the waves (see the Weights Methodology memo for more details). Unweighted estimates may yield misleading results.

The main purpose of this is memo is to describe the weights available to users of the public data files. The public use data do not contain the geographic identifiers needed to construct the strata and primary sampling unit (PSU) variables necessary for using a Taylor Series methodology to estimate variances (except through a restricted use contract)ⁱⁱⁱ. Therefore, the public use data files contain a basic weight and a set of replicate weights. The replicate weights are used in place of the strata and PSU variables. The replicate weights mask the locations of respondents, while still allowing for estimation of variance. If you are using the public use datasets, you will need to use the replicate weights to get estimates of variance for the sample. Applying the basic weight without the replicate weights will give you comparable point estimates, but will yield incorrect variance estimates.

A. Available weightsiv

For each wave of data and for each unit of analysis (mother, father, couple), users can weight the data up to two different populations – the national level^v or the city level. Applying the *national weights* makes the data from the 16 randomly selected cities representative of births occurring in large U.S. cities (the 77 U.S. cities with populations over 200,000 in 1994) between 1998 and 2000. Applying the *city-level weights* makes the data from all 20 cities in the sample^{vi} representative of births in their particular city in

1998, 1999, or 2000, depending on the year in which the baseline data collection took place for that city.

When setting the weights in your statistical package, you will need to indicate the name of the basic weight, as well as the replicate weights. The variable names for these weights are listed below where (m) indicates mother weights, (f) indicates father weights, and (q) indicates couples weights and 1-5 represents the wave (1 = baseline, 2 = Year 1 follow-up, 3 = Year 3 follow-up, 4= Year 5 follow-up, 5= Year 9 follow-up).

Wave	Na	tional level weights ^{vii}		City level weights	
	Basic weight	Replicate weights	Basic weight	Replicate weights	
Baselineviii	mlnatwt	mlnatwt_rep1-mlnatwt_rep33	mlcitywt	m1citywt_rep1- m1citywt_rep10	
	flnatwt	flnatwt_rep1-flnatwt_rep33	flcitywt	flcitywt_rep1- flcitywt_rep10	
Year 1	m2natwt	m2natwt_rep1-m2natwt_rep33	m2citywt	m2citywt_rep1- m2citywt_rep10	
	f2natwt	f2natwt_rep1-f2natwt_rep33	f2citywt	f2citywt_rep1- f2citywt_rep10	
	q2natwt	q2natwt rep1-c2natwt rep33	q2citywt	q2citywt rep1-q2citywt rep10	
Year 3	m3natwt	m3natwt_rep1-m3natwt_rep33	m3citywt	m3citywt_rep1- m3citywt_rep10	
	f3natwt	f3natwt_rep1-f3natwt_rep33	f3citywt	f3citywt_rep1- f3citywt_rep10	
	q3natwt	q3natwt_rep1-q3natwt_rep33	q3citywt	q3citywt_rep1- q3citywt_rep10	
Year 5	m4natwt	m4natwt_rep1-m4natwt_rep33	m4citywt	m4citywt_rep1- m4citywt_rep10	
	f4natwt	f4natwt_rep1-f4natwt_rep33	f4citywt	f4citywt_rep1- f4citywt_rep10	
	q4natwt	q4natwt_rep1-q4natwt_rep33	q4citywt	q4citywt_rep1- q4citywt_rep10	
Year 9	m5natwt	m5natwt_rep1-m5natwt_rep26	m5citywt	m5citywt_rep1- m5citywt_rep72	
	f5natwt	f5natwt_rep1-f5natwt_rep26	f5citywt	f5citywt_rep1- f5citywt_rep72	
	q5natwt	q5natwt_rep1-q5natwt_rep26	q5citywt	q5citywt_rep1- q5citywt_rep72	

As an example, to weight the baseline mother data to be representative of births in the 77 cities, you would apply the weight mlnatwt (and replicate weights mlnatwt_repl-mlnatwt_rep33). If you were looking at a father-reported measure at the Year 3 follow-up and wanted to use all 20 cities but not generalize beyond those 20 cities, you would apply the weight f3citywt (and replicate weights f3citywt_rep1-f3citywt_rep10). If you were analyzing the sample of couples at the Year 3 follow-up and wanted to compare reports of father involvement among a nationally-representative sample, you would apply the q3natwt (and replicate weights q3natwt_rep1-q3natwt_rep33).

These weights are cross-sectional in nature and each weight makes its particular wave representative of the original sampling frame. Longitudinal weights, which would make cases interviewed at every wave representative of the original sampling frame, are not available. Therefore, if you are using measures from more than one wave, we suggest using the weight of the wave in which the most people were interviewed.

B. Applying the weights and computing variance estimates using Stata (version 9 or later)

As described in the weights construction memo, the replicate weights require using jackknife estimation of standard errors. The basic weight is a sampling weight (which means that the weight implies the number of people in the population represented by the sampled individual) and therefore should be set using the pweight option in Stata (see the survey data manual in stata). The syntax of the svyset statement you should use is ...

svyset [pweight=BASICWEIGHT], jkrw(REPLICATES, multiplier(1)) vce(jack) mse

... where *BASICWEIGHT* and *REPLICATES* are replaced with the relevant weight names for your analyses.

For instance, to run an analysis of baseline mother data for the national sample, the data user should first set the weight by entering...

svyset [pweight=m1natwt], jkrw(m1natwt_rep*, multiplier(1)) vce(jack) mse

To run an analysis of three-year father data for the 20-city sample, the data user should first set the weight by entering...

svyset [pweight=f3citywt], jkrw(f3citywt_rep*, multiplier(1)) vce(jack) mse

Then simply add "svy:" before (nearly) any of their commands (e.g. tab, reg, or logistic) to generate weighted estimates.

If you are running on a subgroup of the total population, you also need to include the subpop command with the appropriate sample flag...

svy, subpop(SAMPLEFLAG):

...before the command you are running.

For instance, to run an analysis of baseline mother data for the national sample, the regression command would look like ...

svy, subpop(cm1natsm): regress Y X

Using the subpop option in Stata is preferred over subsetting the file (e.g. with an if or keep statement) to the domain of interest. By including the full file and specifying the subpopulation command, Stata will make full use of the design when determining the degrees of freedom.

For more information on using weights in Stata, users should review the Stata manuals, particularly the "Survey Data" manual.

C. <u>Identifying the national and city-level samples</u>

There is a set of sample flags that correspond to the weights that identify the sample members who will be included in your weighted estimates. You can use these variables to select the sample to run unweighted estimates on for comparison to their weighted estimates or in the subpop option when running regressions. We generated these because there are a small number of cases that do not have weights but have valid survey data (see endnote v for more detail) and because there are a small number of cases that have positive weights, but no survey data because the parent/child was deceased or the child was adopted (see Appendix A for more information).

The weights sample flags are dummy variables that are "1" if the individual/couple is in that sample and has a valid interview(s) and "0" if the individual/couple is interviewed

but not in that sample and are "." (missing) if the individual/couple was not interviewed in that wave. These flags can be used to subset out the population of interest. The table below displays the name of the weight, the corresponding sample flag, and a description of the sample implied by the flag (where Z is the indicator for mother (m), father (f), or couple (q) and W is the indicator for wave -1 = baseline, 2 = Year 1 follow-up, 3 = Year 3 follow-up, 4 = Year 5 follow-up, 5 = Year 9 follow-up).

Weight	Sample flag	You can use this flag to select these cases			
natwt	cnatsm Randomly selected respondents in the				
		randomly selected 16 cities that have a			
		completed core interview			
citywt	ccitsm	Randomly selected respondents in the 20			
		cities who have a completed core interview			
		[See endnote v for note re: cases dropped]			

For instance, cm4natsm = 1 indicates that the mother is in the national sample and interviewed at the Year 5 follow-up. And cq3citsm = 1 would mean both mother and father were interviewed at the Year 3 follow-up and are in the 20-cities sample.

There are also flags (innatsm and incitysm) that indicate whether the case is part of the national or city sample, but do not indicate whether the case was interviewed in any particular wave.

Sample sizes

The table below shows the sample sizes of completed interviews (with valid weights) in the national sample and 20-cities sample core data. Cases with deaths and adoptions are not included in these counts (unless the mother completed an interview, which occurred for some cases in which the child was living with neither parent). Whether a child/respondent has died or been adopted can be determined by the interview sample flag (c**samp) – see the *User Guide* for each wave for more detail on the interview sample flags and Appendix A for more information.

	Baseline	Year 1	Year 3	Year 5	Year 9
Mother sample sizes					
National sample	3,442	3,082	2,973	2,927	2,569
C'. 1	4.700	4.270	4.140	4.077	2.515
City sample	4,789	4,270	4,140	4,055	3,515
Father sample sizes					
National sample	2,726	2,409	2,315	2,235	1,932
City sample	3,742	3,306	3,225	3,087	2,652
Couple sample sizes	3,742	3,300	3,223	3,007	2,032
National sample	2,726	2,349	2,220	2,128	2,075
	·				
City sample	3,742	3,218	3,093	2,936	2,882

D. Additional national and city-level weights for PCG, child surveys and home visits

National and city weights for primary caregiver surveys at the Year 3, through Year 15 waves, as well as weights associated with child surveys and home visits surveys and measures at these waves are also available. More information about the construction of these weights can be found in three documents: "Methodology for Constructing Primary Caregiver Weights for Wave 3-5 Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing Study," "Methodology for Constructing Child Weights for Wave 3-5 Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing Study" and "Year 15 Fragile Families Survey Weight Adjustment." The variables available are listed in the table below.

Wave		Na	tional level weights ^{ix}	City level weights	
		Basic weight	Replicate weights	Basic weight	Replicate weights
Year 3	PCG	p3natwt	p3natwt_rep1-p3natwt_rep26	p3citywt	p3citywt_rep1- p3citywt_rep72
	Child/Home	k3natwt	k3natwt_rep1-k3natwt_rep26	k3citywt	k3citywt_rep1- k3citywt_rep72
Year 5	PCG	p4natwt	p4natwt_rep1-p4natwt_rep26	p4citywt	p4citywt_rep1- p4citywt_rep72
	Child/Home	k4natwt	k4natwt_rep1-k4natwt_rep26	k4citywt	k4citywt_rep1- k4citywt_rep72
Year 9	PCG	p5natwt	p5natwt_rep1-p5natwt_rep26	p5citywt	p5citywt_rep1- p5citywt_rep72
	Child/Home	k5natwt	k5natwt_rep1-k5natwt_rep26	k5citywt	k5citywt_rep1- k5citywt_rep72
Year 15	PCG	p6natwt	p6natwt_rep1-p6natwt_rep33	p6citywt	p6citywt_rep1- p6citywt_rep10
	Child	k6natwt	k6natwt_rep1-k6natwt_rep33	k6citywt	k6citywt_rep1- k6citywt_rep10
	Home	h6natwt	h6natwt_rep1-h6natwt_rep10	h6citywt	h6citywt_rep1- h6citywt_rep10

Appendix A – Examining sample members who are deceased or who have deceased or adopted children

Sample members who have children who are deceased or adopted or are deceased themselves do not have survey data. Cases in which the child was living with neither parent may or may not have survey. Therefore, most of these cases will be excluded from analyses because of missing information. Cases with no interview data (just information on their status from dispositions) also have zeros in the weights sample flag. These cases do have valid weights, however, and the percent of individuals with these outcomes can be estimated by applying the weight to the sample flag. For instance, if you want to estimate the percent of fathers in the 20 cities who died by the three-year follow-up, the data user can tabulate the interview summary flag, in this case **cf3samp** (see the *Wave 3 User Guide*), with weights. Note: cases reported as living with neither parent or even adopted may have valid interview data a later wave.

¹ This memo describes the weights that were released in February of 2008. See "Appendix A. Changes to the Fragile Families Weights" for a brief description about how these weights and sample flags differ from earlier versions.

ⁱⁱ Reichman, N. E., J. O. Teitler, I. Garfinkel, and S. S. McLanahan. 2001. "Fragile Families: Sample and Design." *Children and Youth Services Review* 23:303-326.

iii Please note that data users who have access to the geographic identifiers may still want to use the replicate weights for their estimates. Using the replicate weights will likely yield similar standard errors (at least for cross-sectional estimates) as the alternative method.

iv There are no weights that adjust for non-response to the in-home and child care studies. Users should treat this non-response as they would other item non-response.

 $^{^{\}rm v}$ In this memo, the term *national* refers to all 77 U.S. cities with 1994 populations of 200,000 or more.

vi There are 109 cases in the data file that were not randomly selected for the core sample (some were randomly selected to be part of a separate study – the TLC3 study) and do not have national sample or city sample weights. Data users can identify and remove these cases using the weights sample flags (cm1citsm = 0 or incitysm=0).

vii There is also an alternate set of national sample weights with the suffix "x" (e.g, m1natwtx). Applying these weights makes the data from 15 of the cities in the sample representative of births occurring in large U.S. cities. This weight achieves the same goal as the primary national sample weight (described above) but drops one of the cities that has a high rate of questions "not asked" (denoted by -5 in the data), particularly at the one-year follow-up, because of changes to the survey instruments between fielding the first two cities and the remaining 18 cities. For example, this weight could be used if you wanted to analyze responses in the following variables... m2b11, m2b11a, m2b11b, m2b21, m2c10, m2d4, m2d4a, etc. To identify variables when the alternative national weights may be necessary, see the annotated questionnaires for notations "18-cities only" or see data for questions with high percent of "-5" responses.

viii Couple weights are the same as father weights at the baseline interview (since all mothers were interviewed). For analyzing couples at baseline, the father baseline weight (flnatwt, flnatwtx, or flcitywt and corresponding replicate weights-depending on the sample/measure of interest) should be used. See page 28 of the "Fragile Families & Child Wellbeing Study: Methodology for Constructing Mother, Father, and Couple Weights for Core Telephone Public Survey Data Waves 1-4."

ix There is also an alternate set of national sample weights with the suffix "x" (e.g, **m1natwtx**). Applying these weights makes the data from 15 of the cities in the sample representative of births occurring in large U.S. cities. This weight achieves the same goal as the primary national sample weight (described above) but drops one of the cities that has a high rate of questions "not asked" (denoted by -5 in the data),

particularly at the one-year follow-up, because of changes to the survey instruments between fielding the first two cities and the remaining 18 cities. For example, this weight could be used if you wanted to analyze responses in the following variables... m2b11, m2b11a, m2b11b, m2b21, m2c10, m2d4, m2d4a, etc. To identify variables when the alternative national weights may be necessary, see the annotated questionnaires for notations "18-cities only" or see data for questions with high percent of "-5" responses.